5. Gradation List.—There shall be prepared every year for each State Cadre and Joint Cadre a gradation list consisting of the names of all officers bo'rne on thai cadre arranged in order of seniority.

6. Fixation ot the seniority of officers transferred to another cadre.—

6(1) If a direct recruit officer is transferred from one cadre to another in public interest, his year of allotment shall remain unchanged and his inter-se position among the direct recruits naving the same year of allomeni in me cadre to which he is transferred shall remain the same as determined in accordance with rule 10 of the Indian Administrative Service (Probation) Rule, 1994.

6. (2) If a promotee officer or officer appointed by selection is transferred from one cadre to another in public interest, his year of allotment shall remain unchanged and he shall be ranked inter-se with promotee officers or officers appointed by selection as the case may be having the same year of allotment in the cadre to which he is transferred with reference to the date on the basis of which he was assigned the year of allotment under these rules,

6. (3) If an officer is transferred from one cadre to another at his re quest he shall be assigned a position in the gradation list of the cadre to which he is transferred below all the officers of his category borne on that cadre who have the same year of allotment:

Provided that in the case of a direct recruit officer transferred from one cadre to another at his request, his seniority in the list prepared under rule 10 of the Indian Administrative Service (Probation) Rules, 1954 shall remain unaffected for the purposes of the said list.

7. Seniority of officers appointed under sub-rule (3) of rule 4 of the Indian Administrative Service (Recruitment) Rules, 1954.—Notwithstanding anything contained in any of the provisions of these rules the seniority of officers appointed to the service in accordance with provisions •sub-rule (3) of rule 4 of the Indian Administrative Service (Recruitment) Rules, 1954 shall be determined in accordance with such principles as the Central Government may, after consultation with the State Governments and the Commission from time to time, determine.

Interpretation!—If any question arises as to the interpretation of these rules, it shall be referred to the Central Government for decision.

9, Repeal and Saving.—9(1) The Indian Administrative Service (Regulation of Seniority) Rules, 1954 and all other rules corresponing to the said rules in force immediately before the commencement ul these rules are hereby repealed.

9 (2) The Seniority of the officers appointed to the Service prior to the coming into force of these rules shall be determined in accordance with the Indian Administrative Service (Regulation of Seniority) Rules, 1954 in force on the date of their appointment to the service:

Provided that any order made or action taken under the rules so repealed shall be deemed to have been made or taken under the corresponding provisions of these rules.

Snatching of papers from a CBI official in London

3047. SHRI MD. SALIM: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a complaint was lodged at London's Bow street police station by a DIG of

CBI to the effect that the brief case in which he was carrying several valuable documents and evidence obtained from abroad on the Rajiv Gandhi assassination case was snatched from him;

(b) whether the same DIG (CBI) after the London incident was for mally inducted into the Special in. vestigating Team (SIT); and

(c) if so, the details in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PEN SIONS WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI MATI MARGARET ALVA): (a) No, Sir. An incident involving theift of a brief case belonging to a DIG of CBI who had gone to London for a meeting with officials of Interpol in connection with matters not pertain ing to the Rajiv Gandhi case was re ported to the Metropolitan Police London. It has been confirmed that no paper pertaining to the Rajiv Gandhi Assassination case was in the brief case.

(b) and (c) The officer referred to above, has been an active membsr of the S.I.T. right from the initial stages of its investigation.

केन्द्रीय जांच ब्यूरो द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार के अधिकारियों के विरुद्ध मामले दर्ज किए जाना

3048. **श्री दिलीप सिंह जूदेव :** क्या प्रधान मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) वर्ष 1989-90 से लेकर वर्ष 1992-93 त भ्रष्टाचार निवारण अधि नियम के अन्तर्गत केन्द्रीय जांच ब्यूरो द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार के कितने राजपत्रित और अराजपत्रित अधिकारियों के विरूज मामले दर्ज किये गये थे और उक्त अवति में दर्ज किये गये मामलों और उससे पहले दर्ज किये गये मामलों में से, कितने मामलों के संबंध में निर्णय हो चुका है;

(ख) कितने अधिकारि दंडित किये गये और कितने अधिकारी निर्दोष पाये गये हैं और दिसम्बर, 1992 की स्थिति के अनुसार, कितने मामले विचाराधीन थे; और

(ग) उक्त अधिकारियों में से अखिल भारतीय सेवा के कितने अधिकारी दोषी पाये गये और दंडित किये गये हैं और उन्होंने क्या-क्या अपराध किये थे ?

कार्मिक, लोक शिकायत तथा पेंशन मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री तथा संसदीय कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री का अतिरिक्त प्रभार (श्रीमती मार्ग्रेट आल्वा) : (क) (i) वर्ष 1989 से जनवरी, 1993 की अवधि के दौरान केन्द्रीय जांच ब्यूरो ने भ्रष्टाचार निवारण अधिनियम के अन्तर्गत 1,343 मामले दर्ज किये। इनमें से 615 मामले राजपत्रित अधिकारियों तथा शेष 728 मामले अराजपत्रित अधिकारियों से संबंधित थे । (ii) उपर्युक्त 1,343 मामलों में से 1,085 मामलों में जांच कार्य पूरा हो चुका है । इनमें से 440 मामले मुकदमें के लिये न्यायालय में भेजे गये, 549 मामले संबंधित विभागीय प्राधिकारियों को नियमित विभागीय कार्रवाई के लिये भेजे गये तथा 40 मामलों में संबंधित विभागों को यथा-पेक्षित उचित कार्रवाई के लिये रिपोर्ट भेजी गयी । 56 मामले बन्द कर दिये गये या उनका निपटान हो गया ।

(ख) (i) मुकदमें के लिये भेजे 440 मामलों में से 8 मामले अभी तक निम्न-लिखित परिणामों के साथ निपटा दिये गये हैं:-

(1) दोषी पाये गये अधिकारी	4
(2) दोष मुक्त अधिकारी	-2
(3) जिनकी मृत्यु मुकदमें के दौरान हुई	-1